# **Atomic Depths :**

An assessment of freshwater and marine sediment contamination

The Fukushima Daiichi nuclear disaster - Five years later





## CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	03
2. RADIOLOGICAL SOURCES TO THE PACIFIC OCEAN	04
3. RADIOCESIUM MARINE DISPERSAL AND COASTAL SEABED SEDIMENTS	08
4. GREENPEACE MARINE, RIVER and LAKE SURVEY: February - March 2016	10
5. CURRENT AND FUTURE THREATS	16
6. CONCLUSION	18

Greenpeace Japan Greenpeace Germany Greenpeace Belgium

Hisayo Takada Shaun Burnie Kendra Ulrich Jan Vande Putte

July 2016

#### Acknowledgements :

We would like to express our thanks for the dedicated efforts of the Greenpeace radiation survey and logistics team, in particular coordinator Mai Suzuki, Heinz Smital, Daisuke Miyachi, Hiroaki Odachi, Jacob Namminga, Florian Kasser, Mamoru Sekiguchi, Thomas Breuer, Raquel Monton, Wolf Wichmann, Simon Hendrik; and especially ROV operator and underwater videographer Gavin Newman and photographer Christian Aslund; the crew of the Asakaze research vessel; for scientific support Mylene Josset and David Boilley of ACRO, Chikurin, Radioactivity Monitoring Center for Citizens, Tokyo and Iwaki Radiation Measuring Center NPO "Tarachine" ; Captain Pete Wilcox and Francois Provost, and the crew of the Rainbow Warrior and Manuel Pinto of Greenpeace International Ships Unit; the Radiation Protection Advisors unit of Greenpeace; Yuki Sekimoto, Chisato Jono, Emi Hayashi, Kenichiro Shimada, Tristan Tremschnig and Cornelia Deppe Burghardt; and finally a special thanks to Charlotte van der Tak and the dedicated staff of Greenpeace Japan.

# INTRODUCTION

The Fukushima Daiichi nuclear accident, which began on 11 March 2011, released large amounts of radioactivity into the Pacific Ocean. In fact, as calculated by the French Institute for Radiological Protection and Nuclear Safety (IRSN), *"this is the largest one-off injection of artificial radionuclides into the marine environment ever observed."*<sup>1</sup>

This report is based on a review of the extensive scientific research that has been conducted since 2011 on radiocesium in seabed sediments in the Pacific Ocean along the Fukushima coast and in river systems and lakes. It also includes the results of Greenpeace radiation surveys conducted in the coastal waters, estuaries, and rivers of Fukushima prefecture in early 2016,<sup>2</sup> as well as in Lake Biwa, Shiga prefecture.

Fukushima Daiichi nuclear plant showing reactors 1-6 from Greenpeace chartered research vessel Asakaze, March 2016. © Greenpeace / Christian Aslund

# 2 RADIOLOGICAL SOURCES TO THE PACIFIC OCEAN

In order to understand the radioactive releases into the Pacific Ocean from the Fukushima Daiichi accident, and the impacts of these on marine ecosystems, it is necessary to have an overview of the known and the potential releases. Having a complete picture of what has been released into the ocean is particularly challenging, as the releases themselves do not come from one single source. To better understand, it is useful to look at the phases of the liquid radioactive discharges since the accident.

- Phase 1 12th March 2011 end of March - peak gaseous and particulate atmospheric releases resulting from the initial hydrogen explosions and venting of Fukushima Daiichi reactor units 1-3 and subsequent plume releases; <sup>3</sup>
- Phase 2 March 2011 May direct liquid releases from the Fukushima Daiichi plant via the northern and southern discharge channels with reports suggesting this from 26th March;

- Phase 3 from May 2011 to the present

   liquid releases from the nuclear plant
   via contaminated groundwater migration
   and leaks from basement facilities;
- Phase 4 March 2011 ongoing land run off via river systems, groundwater, and estuaries from coastal and inland Fukushima, with peaks during snow melt, typhoon season and heavy rains.

# Releases Phase 1 and 2 – March to May 2011:

Releases from the Fukushima accident are based on estimations, measurement data and modeling.<sup>4</sup> However there remain significant uncertainties, with multiple and varied estimation. TEPCO data in 2013 estimated that 3.5 PBq of Cesiums-134 (Cs-134) and 3.6 PBq of Cesium-137 (Cs-137) were released into the marine environment between 26th March and 30th September 2011.<sup>5</sup> The TEPCO figures contrast with those of the Institute for Radiological



Diagram 1: Land to ocean transfer of radionuclides

Protection and Nuclear Safety (IRSN), which in 2012 estimated that the Cs-137 releases from 21st March to mid-July 2011 were 27 PBq (27 x 1015 Bq).<sup>6</sup>

As Buesseler et al. observe, "the total activity of Cs released is still uncertain, ranging from 4-90 PBq, with most of the combined releases in the 15 to 30 PBq range for each Cs isotope."<sup>7</sup>

# Release Phase 3 – May 2011 to the present:

The initial days and weeks of the accident led to the highest levels of release, but in the intervening 63 months radioactivity has continued to enter the Pacific Ocean.

*Fukushima Daiichi plant* – In terms of total direct radiological release to the Pacific Ocean since the peak period in spring 2011, no one precisely knows due to the lack of monitoring in the early phases, the complexity of the hydrology on the site, and the conditions resulting from the accident. However, it is accurate to state that the releases from the Fukushima Daiichi plant in the period from 2011 through to 2016 are a fraction of the early phase releases.

On the available data from TEPCO, a total of 33 TBq was discharged from the site to the Pacific from May 2011 through to the end of 2014<sup>8</sup>, equal to 0.1% - 0.9% of the liquid releases to the marine environment during the early phases of the accident. No total data has been published by TEPCO for the period through 2016.

However, the reported unplanned release of 33 TBq of Cs-137 between May 2011 to December 2014 resulting from the disaster is an enormous radioactive discharge when compared to the routine releases from the European Union's largest nuclear plant, Graveline in northern France. For example, the six nuclear PWR reactors at this site discharged 0.000066 TBq of Cs-137 for the year of 2008.<sup>9</sup> The Fukushima Daiichi releases in the 3.5 year window from May 2011 to December 2014 are equivalent to 500,000 years of discharges from Graveline.<sup>10</sup>

# Release Phase 4 – March 2011 to the present:

#### Land based releases (via river systems)

As detailed in the March 2016 Greenpeace report, Radiation Reloaded,<sup>11</sup> as a result of the atmospheric releases and deposition in March-April 2011, the mountainous forest and freshwater ecosystems of Fukushimaimpacted areas throughout the prefecture and in neighboring regions have become vast reservoirs of radioactivity. A portion of the radiocesium deposited on forested land migrated to water systems (i.e. through rapid wash off) in the initial phase post-accident. The remainder is stored in the forest catchment and freshwater systems for long-term recirculation and slow low-level downstream migration.<sup>12</sup> Rivers move cesium downstream, deposit contaminated sediments where water velocities slow enough for particulate-bound cesium to drop out of the water column, and can resuspend particulate cesium, particularly during heavy precipitation events and snowmelt. Even with low discharge rates,<sup>13</sup> the redistribution of cesium via watersheds can be significant due to the sheer magnitude of the vast contaminated forests and land.

Fukushima prefecture and neighboring prefectures have a number of major and minor river systems that flow from contaminated upland forests to coastal plains, and ultimately empty into the Pacific Ocean. These river systems, in particular the Abukuma, Naruse, Nanakita, Natori, Kuji and Naka, as well other smaller river systems including the Mano, Nitta, Ota, and Ukedo, have catchments of thousands of square kilometers.

Evrard et al. report that ,"the Abukuma catchment received the most radiocesium fallout during March-April 2011, followed by the Ukedo and Niida catchments. Radiocesium inventories for the 14 coastal catchments ranged between 734.9 TBq in the Abukuma to 16.2 TBq in the Ide catchment. The Abukuma catchment received approximately 30% of the fallout received by these 14 catchments, followed by 26% for the Ukedo and 12% for the Niida." <sup>14</sup> In terms of the release of this inventory to the ocean, a study<sup>15</sup> that looked at the Abukuma River's 5,172km<sup>2</sup> catchment between June 2011 and May 2012 estimated that 1.13% of the initial radiocesium inventory (890 TBq) within the catchment had been exported to the Pacific Ocean.<sup>16</sup>

The groundbreaking work of J. Kanda has revealed the potential scale of land based contamination being translocated via water systems to the marine environment.<sup>17</sup> Kanda estimated the release of Cs-137 via the river systems of Fukushima through comparing published data of radionuclide

Map 1: River systems along Fukushima and neighbouring prefecture coastline discharging radioactivity into Pacific Ocean



This map is based on the radiation contour map of the Fukushima Daiichi accident, by Prof. Yukio Hayakawa. (also Map 3 on the page 12)

concentrations in the artificial harbor and surrounding ocean. It was estimated that the total radionuclide released into the Pacific Ocean from 1 June to 30 September 2012 was 17.1 TBq.<sup>18</sup> This is only a fraction of the radiocesium inventory of the upland forests of Fukushima prefecture.

#### **Contaminated Estuaries**

As detailed in Greenpeace's 'Radiation Reloaded,<sup>19</sup> one consequence of downstream migration of radionuclides is the contamination of estuaries along the Fukushima coast. Due to the high nutrient inputs from rivers, and the fact that estuaries are often sheltered from strong coastal currents, shellfish, and marine animals use estuaries for food and as breeding grounds. Although some of the suspended cesium-bearing particulates are deposited along riverbanks,<sup>20</sup> a large portion of the mineral-bound radiocesium is discharged into marine estuaries.<sup>21</sup> As demonstrated by C. Chartin, et al. (2013), the river catchments will be a long-term, ongoing source of radiocesium to estuaries and coastal areas. A small percentage of the particulate-bound cesium experiences desorption with rising salinity, when rivers empty to the ocean. Although the percentage of the total inventory is very small, the total amount of newly liberated, dissolved radiocesium can be quite high due to the large total loads of radioactivity water systems can carry. This can then "easily accumulate in marine biota".22

In February 2016, Greenpeace observed major construction works in river estuaries along the Fukushima coastline.<sup>23</sup> The work includes the construction of concrete levees and the canalization of the river mouths. In addition to the negative ecological impact such projects will have on the wildlife that would otherwise depend on these destroyed estuaries, it potentially will effect the deposition of radiocesium at river mouths and offshore.

Greenpeace sediment sampling in Abukuma river, Miyagi prefecture, February 2016. The Abukuma has a 5,172km<sup>2</sup> catchment<sup>15</sup> which is largely in Fukushima prefecture, before entering the Pacific ocean in Miyagi prefecture.



# **3** RADIOCESIUM MARINE DISPERSAL AND COASTAL SEABED SEDIMENTS



Greenpeace Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) returning to survey vessel Asakaze off the coast of Fukushima prefecture, February 2016.

The decline in radiocesium concentrations in Pacific seawater (not including the port area of the Fukushima Daiichi plant) is explained by the rapidity of horizontal and vertical mixing rates in ocean water, which act much faster than the very slow migration in soil horizons after the initial phase postdeposition. Near coastal radiocesium, and specifically that found remaining in seabed sediments, it has been estimated to represent 1-3% of the total marine discharge (from the period March-May 2011).<sup>24</sup> It is this benthic radiocesium repository that is considered a key factor contributing to the higher levels of radiocesium found in benthic invertebrates and demersal fish.25

The distribution and fate of radiocesium in Fukushima's coastal sediments is governed by a number of factors, including: the rates at which it enters the marine environment, settles through the water column, the mixing of deposited contaminated sediment and burial beneath new sediment layers, as well sediment resuspension and transport offshore.

In core samples taken in 2013, Otosaka et al., identified that Cs-134 had penetrated to 1-2 cm depth and was not detected below 3 cm.<sup>26</sup> Estimates from Buesseler and Black suggest bioturbation will lower radiocesium surface sediment activity over the long period of 0.5-30 years. They conclude that the current radiocesium concentrations on surface seafloor sediments will remain contaminated for decades, *"and so will the demersal fish that live on the seafloor."*<sup>27</sup>

#### Localised anomalies:

As would be expected radiocesium concentrations are not uniformly distributed in seafloor sediments. In a towed gamma spectrometry survey conducted between November and February 2013, considerable variability of Cs-137 concentrations was identified in an area within a 20km radius of the Fukushima Daiichi site.<sup>28</sup> The survey detected relatively high levels within a 4km coastal strip, averaging 292 Bq/kg. The highest levels detected were found 1-2 km south of the plant, which averaged 438 Bg/ kg. The Cs-137 levels decreased further out from shore averaging 69 Bq/kg between 4-12 km from the coastline. These anomalies were found at the base of vertical features on the seafloor, sheltered from underwater currents, confirming that the local terrain is a strong determinant of the radiocesium

concentrations in sediment.<sup>29</sup> The anomalies ranged in size from a few meters to several hundreds of metres in length. In addition, the highest anomalies identified were areas of a few meters of Cs-137 >40,152Bq/kg +/- 398 Bq/kg.

The researchers concluded that the anomalies are *"likely to remain relatively unchanged over the timescales of a few years,"* <sup>30</sup> and that, *"The lack of information raises concerns regarding our ability to predict the effects of the accident on the marine ecosystem and limits our ability to form effective recovery strategies."* 

Map 2: Ocean currents affecting dispersal of Fukushima Daiichi radioactive releases



Due to the influence of the Oyashio current offshore of Fukushima prefecture that bring cold waters from the north, and the Kuroshio current bringing warm waters from the south, this coastline of north eastern Honshu has rapid transport of water into the open Pacific Ocean\*. As such the area is a highly dynamic mixing zone which has presented major challenges to the scientific community when assessing the dispersal of radioactivity released as consequence of the Fukushima Daiichi accident.

\* "Fukushima radionuclides in the NW Paci c, and assessment of doses forJapanese and world population from ingestion of seafood" Pavel P. Povinec (Department of Nuclear Physics and Biophysics, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia,) & Katsumi Hirose (Department of Materials and Life Sciences, Sophia University, Tokyo, Japan), Scientific Reports, See; http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/25761420, accessed 16 June 2016.



Greenpeace radiation specialist Jacob Namminga on board research vessel off the coast of Fukushima Daiichi, removing marine sediment sample collected by Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV), March 2016.

Between 21st February and 11th March 2016, Greenpeace conducted a radiation survey and sampling program along the coast, in the selected river systems of Fukushima prefecture, and the estuary of Abukuma in Miyagi prefecture. The survey work was conducted from a Japanese research vessel, supported by the Greenpeace's flagship, Rainbow Warrior. Radiation specialists from Greenpeace and ACRO, a French independent radiation laboratory, using an underwater remotely operated vehicle (ROV) with gamma spectrometer and sample grabber, measured radiation levels on seabed sediment within 10km of the coast. Land survey teams also took samples along the Abukuma, Ota, Natsui, Samegawa and Niida rivers, both near the coast and upstream. Sediment samples were collected and sent for analysis to the Chikurin radiation laboratory in Japan.

The survey team also conducted baseline sediment survey work in Lake Biwa with the ROV, gamma spectrometer and sample grabber, in Shiga prefecture western Japan. This ancient lake is under threat from potential restarts of Kansai Electric's (KEPCO) nuclear reactors in Fukui prefecture.

#### **Results:**

#### Rivers

The survey work confirmed the presence of high levels of radiocesium contamination along the Abukuma, Niida, and Ota rivers banks. Samples taken in the Abukuma River estuary, whose catchment lies largely within Fukushima prefecture, though it enters into the sea in Miyagi prefecture, showed Cs-137 levels ranging from 260-5,500 Bq/kg.

The concentrations in samples from the

banks of the Niida and Ota river Cs-137 ranged from 920-25,000 Bq/kg. The samples were taken along the banks of the river, near dams, and upstream in the mountains, close to headwaters.

No.	Location	Sample ID	Cs-137 (Bq/kg)	Cs-134 (Bq/kg)	Total Cs (Bq/kg)
1		20160215-ABK-1	2,600±370	520±75	3,120
2	Abukuma rivor bank	20160216-ABK-1/2	5,500±760	1,000±150	6,500
3		20160216-ABK-2	3,700±510	700±100	4,400
4		20160216-ABK-3	260±40	49±8.8	309
5		20160303-NII-1	15,000±2,200	3,000±420	18,000
6		20160303-NII-2	3,500±490	680±98	4,180
7		20160303-NII-3	7,500±1000	1,500±210	9,000
8		20160303-NII-4	1,500±220	280±41	1,780
9		20160303-NII-5	1,600±220	310±44	1,910
10	Niida river bank	20160304-NII-1	1,700±230	320±46	2,020
11		20160304-NII-2	920±130	180±26	1,100
12		20160304-NII-3	3,000±420	580±82	3,580
13	_	20160304-NII-4	3,300±470	620±90	3,920
14		20160304-NII-5	1,400±210	270±40	1,670
15	_	20160304-NII-6	25,000±3,500	4,800±690	29,800
16		20160304-NII-7	13,000±1,800	2,500±340	15,500
17		20160304-0TA-1	20,000±2,900	3,800±540	23,800
18	Ota river bank	20160304-0TA-2	2,800±380	540±76	3,340
19		20160304-0TA-4	18,000±2,600	3,400±490	21,400

#### Activity of dried sediment/soil samples collected along river banks



Greenpeace ROV at a depth of 30 metres taking sediment sample on seabed off coast of Fukushima prefecture, 26 February 2016. © Greenpeace / Gavin Newman



Map 3: Greenpeace radiation sediment sampling points from February-March 2016 survey

#### **Marine sediments**

The Greenpeace marine survey confirmed some of the findings of the scientific research conducted during the past 5 years. The results of survey and sampling in proximity to the Samegawa river estuary identified elevated levels of radiocesium. The Samegawa estuary to the south of Onahama, Iwaki district, and approximately 60km south of the Fukushima Daiichi plant, Cs-137 samples ranged from 52-120 Bg/kg, and Cs-134 of 8.9-21 Bg/kg. At the same time, samples from the Niida and Natsui river estuary ranged from 11 to 27 Bg/kg Cs-137. The range of cesium concentrations compare with sediments samples measured in the Sea of Japan, which were in the range

#### of 0.25 Bq/kg.31

The Greenpeace marine survey was not able to confirm the results of the 2012/2013 survey (Thornton, Ohnishi et al.), which had identified radiocesium anomalies within a 20km radius of the Fukushima Daiichi plant. Factors include the highly localized nature of the anomalies and the efficiency of water which shields radiation, where even >40,000Bq/kg would not be detected at one meter. The sediment levels measured by Greenpeace ranged from 34-120 Bq/kg Cs-137. The Greenpeace results are inconclusive as to whether the anomalies continue to exist, or whether the radiocesium sediment has migrated and or dispersed.

No.	Location	Sample ID	Depth ROV (m)	Cs-137 (Bq/kg)	Cs-134 (Bq/kg)	Total Cs (Bq/kg)
1	Soma offshore	20160304-SOM-1	7.4	110±19	24±4.9	134
2		20160302-NID-01	9.6	16±4.2	<2.3	16
3	Niida river estuary	20160304-SOM-2	21.9	11±3.2	<2.7	11
4		20160304-SOM-3	22.2	10±3.1	<3.4	10
5		20160302-FDN-01	18.7	110±18	18±4	128
6	Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear plant offshore	20160302-FDN-02	16.7	120±19	24±4.8	144
7	·····	20160305-FPP-1	24	34±7.3	5.3±2.1	39.3
8		20160225-OHA-1	16	44±8.6	9.3±2.5	53.3
9		20160225-OHA-2	14	36±7.7	9.4±2.7	45.4
10		20160225-OHA-3	29	17±4.7	<6.4	17
11	Natsui rivor ostuary	20160226-NTS-2	26.1	25±6.1	5.2±2.1	30.2
12	Natsul liver estuary	20160226-NTS-3	26.2	27±6.2	<5.3	27
13		20160226-NTS-4	30.8	27±6.2	6.5±2.2	33.5
14		20160226-NTS-5	30.6	21±5.2	<5.4	21
15		20160226-NTS-6	30.6	22±5.9	<5.6	22
16	Nakaposaku offshoro	20160226-NTS-1	26.2	23±6	<5.5	23
17	Nakanosaku onshore	20160306-NKN-1	28.7	37±7.5	7.2±2.3	44.2
18		20160227-SMG-1	22.4	82±14	13±3.3	95
19		20160227-SMG-2	22.1	120±20	24±4.8	144
20		20160227-SMG-3	29.6	6.5±2.2	<2.7	6.5
21	Samogawa rivor octuary	20160227-SMG-4	29.6	16±4.2	<3	16
22	Jamegawa Hver estudiy	20160311-ONH-1	21.7	110±19	21±4.5	131
23		20160311-ONH-2	28.7	52±10	8.9±2.7	60.9
24		20160311-ONH-3	24.3	82±15	13±3.3	95
25		20160311-ONH-4	21.5	120±21	20±4.5	140

Activity	/ of dried	marine	sediment	samples	collected	along	Fukushima coast
/	or arrea		Seameric	Jampies		a.e	

Note: When the sample is below the detection limit, the total is counted as 0 Bq/kg as a matter of practical convenience.

#### Lake Biwa, Shiga prefecture

Greenpeace conducted a baseline sediment sampling survey in Lake Biwa. This ancient lake lies 44km and 64km from the Mihama and Takahama nuclear power plants in Fukui prefecture, which are owned by Kansai Electric. The lake and its predecessors in the region have existed for approximately 3.5 million years, and it is thus classified as one of the world's truly ancient lakes. It is home to 595 animals, 491 plants, including 62 endemic species and subspecies.

In fact, one of the principal reasons that led to a citizen legal challenge the restart of the Takahama reactors in the Otsu District Court, resulting in a successful injunction barring the restart of reactors 3&4, was the environmental threat from the restart of reactors in Fukui to Lake Biwa. This lake also supplies drinking water to 14 million people in the Kansai region.<sup>32</sup>

/	by of affed Seament Samp	Sies concered in Edi	C Dina			
No.	Location	Sample ID	Depth ROV (m)	Cs-137 (Bq/kg)	Cs134 (Bq/kg)	Total Cs (Bq/kg)
1	Takashima city nearshore	20160322-BIW-1	3.8	<6.4	<4.5	ND
2	Nagahama city poarchoro	20160322-BIW-2	7.7	13±4.6	<6.0	13
3		20160323-BIW-1	4.2	7.1±3.7	<7.2	7.1
4	Kusatsu city nearshore	20160324-BIW-1	3.1	<6.8	<5.1	ND

#### Activity of dried sediment samples collected in Lake Biwa

Note: When the sample is below the detection limit, the total is counted as 0 Bg/kg as a matter of practical convenience.



Map 4: Greenpeace radiation sampling points in Lake Biwa, March 2016

#### Results

The sediment sample analysis showed levels of radiocesium at 7-13 Bq/kg. This concentration was lower than that measured in 1997, prior to the Fukushima Daiichi accident.<sup>33</sup> This starkly contrasts with the widespread radiocesium contamination of lakes, reservoirs and dams throughout Fukushima prefecture, highlighting the importance and urgency of protecting Lake Biwa from radiological contamination.

As discussed above, studies of dams, lakes, and reservoirs in Fukushima-impacted watersheds have been shown to be both sinks for radiocesium and potential sources of significant downstream cesium deposition.<sup>34</sup> Lake Hayama for example, which lies 39 km NNW from the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power plant, has been found to be highly contaminated. In 2012, sediment samples revealed radiocesium concentrations of 24,189 Bq/kg +/- 5636 (wet weight).<sup>35</sup> The result of which was uptake of radiocesium in lake fish. As O. Evrard et al. (2013) concluded, *"the storage of contaminated sediment in reservoirs and in coastal sections of the river channels now represents the most crucial issue."* <sup>36</sup>



Greenpeace Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) collecting sediment samples in Lake Biwa, Shiga prefecture, March 2016. © Greenpeace / Gavin Newman





Nuclear waste storage area at Lake Hayama, litate village district, Fukushima prefecture, October 2015. Lake Hayama was heavily contaminated as a result of the March 2011 Fukushima Daiichi accident, in addition to radiocesium in the lake bed sediments, the forested mountains surrounding the lake are contaminated. Japanese government efforts to decontaminate along the roads, around houses and in fields in Fukushima has led to the generation of millions of cubic metres of nuclear waste stored in over 114,000 locations as of September 2015 (The Mainichi, 10th December 2015).

The radiological impacts of the Fukushima nuclear disaster on the marine environment, with consequences for both human and nonhuman health, are not only in the first years. There are both ongoing and future threats, principally the continued releases from the Fukushima Daiichi plant itself and translocation of land-based contamination throughout Fukushima prefecture, including upland forests, rivers, lakes and coastal estuaries.

#### Fukushima Daiichi

The estimates for the Cs-137 and Cs-134 radiological inventory in the reactor cores of Fukushima Daiichi 1-3 at the time of the accident was 700 PBq for each isotope respectively.<sup>37</sup> In terms of what was released to the marine environment through direct

discharge during the weeks of March through September 2011, the actual percentage is dependent upon which estimated PBq release is selected. Aoyama et al., estimate that 3.5 PBq of Cs-137, equal to 0.50% of the of Cs-137 inventory in the three reactor cores at Fukushima Daiichi, was released into the Pacific Ocean.<sup>38</sup> Taking the higher estimated range as cited by Buesseler et al of 15-30 PBq Cs-137 released directly into the Pacific Ocean, would mean 1.6-3.26% of the total Cs-137 inventory.

An estimated 140 PBq of Cs-137, equal to 20% of the 700 PBq inventory, was released in contaminated water into the reactor buildings.<sup>39</sup> As of 16 June 2016, TEPCO estimates that there are

59,000 cubic meters of this water in the 1-4 reactor buildings.<sup>40</sup> It is this highly contaminated water that has been one of the major hazards and challenges over the past 5 years. The generation of highly contaminated water continues on a daily basis as TEPCO are required to continue to circulate cooling water into the 1-3 reactors. As of 16 June 2016, TEPCO was pumping 321 cubic meters each day into the reactors,<sup>41</sup> and a total of 652,710 cubic meters of highly contaminated treated water is retained in storage tanks, together with another 179,525 cubic meters of strontiumcontaminated treated water.<sup>42</sup>

TEPCO has processed 1.5 million tons of water for removal of radiocesium, also as of 16 June 2016, with processing technologies deployed to remove up to 90% of a range of isotopes, including strontium. Further processing of the strontium water is on-going. However, the processing has not removed radioactive tritium, which have levels ranging from 0.6 Bq/I - 4.2 million Bq/I. In total as of February 2016, TEPCO estimated that there would be approximately 900 TBq of tritium within the storage tanks at the Fukushima Daiichi site.<sup>43</sup> A total of over 3.5 PBq of tritium is estimated to have been in reactors 1-3 core fuel as of March 2011.

Options for managing the vast quantities of tritiated water on the Fukushima Daiichi site were put to tender in 2013. The result was the selection of six technologies, the developers of which were tasked with demonstrating separation technology by 2016 <sup>44</sup> – a challenging technical task given that tritium is a radioactive isotope of hydrogen. TEPCO recently suggested the alternative of evaporation.<sup>45</sup> However in 2016, the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI) announced, that both for practical and cost reasons, the recommended option would not be separation, evaporation or long term storage but direct discharge to the Pacific Ocean.<sup>46</sup> No formal decision has been made as it requires the approval of communities within Fukushima, in particular the fisheries associations most directly impacted by the 2011 accident.

There are major uncertainties regarding the long-term effects posed by radioactive tritium.<sup>47</sup> Thus, the planned release cannot be considered without risk to the marine environment and human health, particularly at the local level. This is the reason why the direct ocean discharge of this radioactive, tritiated water is opposed by Fukushima citizens groups and fishermen associations.<sup>48</sup>

# Land-based contamination via river systems

As described in 'Radiation Reloaded' and discussed above, the widespread contamination of the upland forests, river and lake systems of Fukushima prefecture and throughout the impacted region, present a long term radiological threat to both the terrestrial and marine environment. There is an urgency for scientific research to continue, not least due to the direct exposure pathways both to the human and nonhuman environment. As documented in the significant body of scientific research, as well as Greenpeace survey work, the terrestrial concentrations of radiocesium in the forests, land and river systems of Fukushima prefecture are significantly higher than the concentrations generally found in marine sediment.



Greenpeace Japan radiation specialist Mai Suzuki preparing sediment sample on board research vessel Asakaze, off the coast of Fukushima Daiichi nuclear plant, March 2016. © Greenpeace / Christian Aslund

# CONCLUSION

Due to the radionuclides released by the Fukushima nuclear accident, and their incorporation into the materials cycle of ecosystems, the impacts of the disaster will last for decades to centuries. The widespread contamination of the marine environment has been extensively investigated over the past 5 years, but much remains to be understood. In particular, there is a significant lack of research pertaining to species and ecosystem impacts, as most research has focused on concentrations in specific marine animals or in sediments. These do not, however, provide sufficient insight into the impacts of these concentrations on species fitness nor a comprehensive understanding of how these radionuclides behave in complex marine ecosystems.

The results of survey work show that in comparison to radiocesium contamination on land in Fukushima prefecture, the concentrations in marine sediment are significantly lower. One major factor for this is that Fukushima Daiichi lies on the coastline of the world's largest ocean, subject to powerful currents. Radiocesium in such conditions, including that deposited in sediments, is subject to much faster mixing and dispersal when compared to deposition within terrestrial ecosystems. That said, there is clear evidence of concentrations of radiocesium in coastal sediments whose impacts on marine ecosystems and organisms, including benthic species, has yet to be fully explored and is far from understood.

The large scale inventory of radiocesium in the upland forests and lakes of Fukushima prefecture, are, and will remain, an ongoing and long-term source of radiocesium inputs into the Pacific Ocean. This persistent, slow-moving, vast stock of radioactivity in terrestrial and freshwater systems presents a major hazard to both communities and non-human biota for the foreseeable future. There is an urgency to recognizing and understanding these threats, in light of the imminent lifting of evacuation orders in 2017 in areas known to be heavily contaminated, and which cannot be decontaminated.

Alongside this, the emergency conditions and radiological inventory at the Fukushima Daiichi site remains a clear and enormous potential source of even greater contamination to the coastal and wider marine environment than that released in the initial days and weeks of the nuclear accident. It is essential that the dedicated research and investigations of independent scientists continues so that the victims of the Fukushima accident and the people of Japan may better understand the impacts of this man-made, ongoing nuclear disaster.

At the same time, the Japanese government has a duty to apply the precautionary principle and act first and foremost in the interests of protecting public health and the environment. This means reversing policy choices that will compound the impacts of the nuclear disaster, including the deliberate release of radioactive water to the ocean and the lifting of evacuation orders for areas with high levels of radiation.

The radiological conditions that Greenpeace has documented in the river and lake systems of Fukushima stands in dramatic and terrible contrast to the conditions found in Lake Biwa, in Shiga prefecture. Given the proximity of Biwa lake to the multiple reactors in Fukui prefecture, a severe nuclear disaster would potentially have even greater environmental impact than that experienced in Fukushima. This must be avoided at all costs.

## **ENDNOTE**

- 1. "Summary of the Fukushima accident's impact on the environment in Japan, one year after the accident", Institute for Radiological Protection and Nuclear IRSN 28 February 2012.
- 2. "Five years on, Greenpeace assessing marine contamination off Fukushima"AFP/Jiji press, 26 February 2016, see http://www.japantimes.co.jp/news/2016/02/26/national/five-years-greenpeace-assessing-marine-contamination-off-fuku shima/#.V3B-rWPCMsE, accessed 25 June 2016.
- 3. In terms of atmospheric releases, they were produced from 12 to 25 March 2011, in about fifteen events, with the most important releases taking place before 17 March, see "Detailed source term estimation of the atmospheric release for the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station accident by coupling simulations of an atmospheric dispersion model with an improved deposition scheme and oceanic dispersion model", G. Katata, M. Chino1, T. Kobayashi, H. Terada, M. Ota1, H. Nagai, M. Kajino, R. Draxler, M. C. Hort, A. Malo, T. Torii, and Y. Sanada, Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA) Meteorological Research Institute, Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA), Air Resources Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Canadian Meteorological Centre (CMC), Japan Atmospheric Environmental Research, Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT-IMK/ IFU), 30 January 2015. Its worth noting that atmospheric releases have continued, with peaks for example in August 2013 when there was a major release due to an event on site. "During this event, an air monitoring station in this previ ously scarcely contaminated area suddenly reported 137Cs activity levels that were 30-fold above the background. Together with atmospheric dispersion and deposition simulation, radionuclide analysis in soil indicated that debris removal operations conducted on the FDNPP site on August 19, 2013 are likely to be responsible for this late release of radionuclides." see "Post-accident sporadic releases of airborne radionuclides from the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power plant site", Georg Steinhauser, Tamon Niisoe, Kouji H Harada, Katsumi Shozugawa, Stephanie Schneider, Hans Arno Synal, Clemens Walther, Marcus Christl, Kenji Nanba, Hirohiko Ishikawa, and Akio Koizumi, Environ. Science and Technology, 'Just Accepted', October 25, 2015, see http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.est.5b03155, accessed 20 June 2016.
- 4. For an overview of modeling of releases to the environment see "A review of the model comparison of transportation and deposition of radioactive materials released to the environment as a result of the Tokyo Electric Power Company's Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant accident", 2 September, 2014 Sectional Committee on Nuclear Accident Committee on Comprehensive Synthetic Engineering, Science Council of Japan, see http://www.jpgu.org/scj/ report/20140902scj\_report\_e.pdf, accessed 20 June 2016.
- "Continuing 137 Cs release to the sea from the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant through 2012", J Kanda, Department of Ocean Science, University of Marine Science and Technology, Japan, Biogeosciiences, 10, 6107-6113, 2013.
- "Summary of the Fukushima accident's impact on the environment in Japan, one year after the accident", IRSN 28 February 2012, see http://www.irsn.fr/EN/publications/thematic-safety/fukushima/Pages/overview.aspx, accessed 18 June 2016.
- 7. "Tracking the fate of particle associated Fukushima Daiichi cesium in the ocean off Japan", Ken O. Buesseler, Christopher R. German, Makio C. Honda, Shigeyoshi Otosaka, Erin E. Black, Hajime Kawakami, Steven J. Manganini, and Steven M. Pike Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Environmental Science and Technology, 9 July 2015, see http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs. est.5b02635, accessed 20 June 2016.
- "Fukushima Daiichi Decommissioning Policy and Japan's Nuclear Energy Policy", Ryoji Doi, Agency for Natural Resources and Energy, METI, 9 April, 2015, see http://www.export.gov/japan/build/groups/public/@eg\_jp/documents/ webcontent/eg\_jp\_085465.pdf, accessed 12 June 2016.
- 9. "Liquid discharges from nuclear installations in 2008", OSPAR Commission, 2010, see http://www.ospar.org/ documents?v=7244, accessed 20 June 2016.
- 10. Sellafield in 2008 discharged 5.1 TBq of Cs-137, and La Hague 0.8 TBq "Liquid discharges from nuclear installations in 2008", OSPAR Commission, 2010, see http://www.ospar.org/documents?v=7244, accessed 20 June 2016.
- 11. "Radiation Reloaded: Ecological Impacts of the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Accident 5 years later", Kendra Ulrich, Greenpeace Japan, March 2016, see, "http://www.greenpeace.org/japan/Global/japan/pdf/GPJ-Fukushima-Radiation-Reloaded-Report.pdf, accessed 12 June 2016.
- 12. "Future projection of radiocesium flux to the ocean from the largest river impacted by Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant Mochamad Adhiraga Pratama, Minoru Yoneda, Yoko Shimada, Yasuto Matsui & Yosuke Yamashiki, Scientific Reports 5, Article number: 8408, 12 February 2015, see http://www.nature.com/articles/srep08408, accessed 12 June 2016.

- "Investigating the source of radiocesium contaminated sediment in two Fukushima coastal catchments with sedi ment tracing techniques." Lepage, H., et al. (2016). Anthropocene. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S2213305416300042
- 14. "Renewed soil erosion and remobilisation of radioactive sediment in Fukushima coastal rivers after the 2013 typhoons." Evrard, O., et al. (2014). Scientific Reports 4. see http://www.nature.com/articles/srep04574, accessed 20 June 2016.
- 15. "Initial flux of sediment-associated radiocesium to the ocean from the largest river impacted by Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant." Yamashiki, Y., et al. Scientific Reports 4, Article: 3714, 16 January 2016, http://www.nature.com/articles/srep03714, accessed 20 June 2016.
- 16. Yamashiki et al reports analysis showing high concentrations of radiocesium observed in sediments at the outlet of the basin, near the Abukuma river mouth, reaching 1.4 kBq/kg-dw at the river mouth in March 2013 and 0.73 kBq/kg-dw in sediments 2.4 km offshore where fine argilliferous or clay type deposits are found, see "Field observation on physical characteristic of Abukuma River Estuary in Sendai Bay", Yamashiki, Yosuke; Pratama, Adhiraga; Varlamov Sergey; Miyazawal, Yasumasa; Yamazaki, Hideo; Ishida, Masanobu; Niwa, Yoshihiro, GSAIS, Kyoto University, Application Laboratory, JAMSTEC, The University of Tokyo, Proceedings. Japan GeoScience Union Meeting 2014, 28 April 2 May 2014, see; https://confit.atlas.jp/guide/event-img/jpgu2014/ACG05-10/public/pdf?type=in, accessed 20 June 2016.
- 17. "Long term sources : to what extent are marine sediments, coastal groundwater, and rivers a source of on going contamination?", Joto Kanda, Sanjo Conferene Hall, University of Tokyo, 13, November, 2012, see www.whoi.edu/ fileserver.do?id=138570&pt=2&p=141589, accessed 20 June 2016.
- 18. This is a figure in the same range as that of the IAEA INES 3 breach of a high level storage tank event at Fukushima Daiichi in August 2013 that released 24TBq of Cesium-137.
- "Radiation Reloaded: Ecological Impacts of the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Accident 5 years later", Kendra Ulrich, Greenpeace Japan, March 2016, see; http://www.greenpeace.org/japan/Global/japan/pdf/GPJ-Fukushima-Radiation-Reloaded-Report.pdf, accessed 12 June 2016.
- For example, see: "Radioactive cesium dynamics derived from hydrographic observations in the Abukuma River Estuary, Japan." Kakehi, S., et al. (2016). Journal of Environmental Radioactivity. Vol. 153: 1–9. see http://www.science direct.com/science/article/pii/S0265931X15301600, accessed 17 June 2016.
- "Computational modeling of Cs-137 contaminant transfer associated with sediment transport in Abukuma River." Iwasaki, T., et al. (2014). Journal of Environmental Radioactivity. Vol.139: 416–426, see http://www.sciencedirect.com/ science/article/pii/ S0265931X14001520, accessed 18 June 2016.
- 22. Ibid.
- 23, There are 17 projects along the Fukushima coast, with work scheduled to be completed during 2016, see "Recovery of coastal levee, drain pump site", Fukushima Prefectural Government, 29 January 2015, see http://www.pref.fukushima lg.jp.e.od.hp.transer.com/site/portal/61-2.html, accessed 27 June 2016.
- 24. "Vertical and Lateral Transport of Particulate Radiocesium off Fukushima", Shigeyoshi Otosaka, Takahiro Nakanishi, Takashi Suzuki, Yuhi Satoh, and Hisashi Narita, Research Group for Environmental Science, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Fukushima Environmental Research Group, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Japan School of Marine Science and Technology, Tokai University, Environmental Science and Technology, 13 October 2014, see http://pubs.acs.org/doi/ abs/10.1021/es503736d, accessed 15 June 2016.
- 25. "Simulation of radioactive cesium transfer in the southern Fukushima coastal biota using a dynamic food chain transfer model", Journal of Environmental Radioactivity, 2013, 124 (0), 1–12., as cited in "Tracking the Fate of Particle Associated Fukushima Daiichi Cesium in the Ocean off Japan", Ken O. Buesseler, Christopher R. German, Makio C. Honda, Shigeyoshi Otosaka, Erin E. Black, Hajime Kawakami, Steven J. Manganini, and Steven M. Pike, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, 319-1112, Environmental Science and Technology, 9 July 2015, see; http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs. est.5b02635 accessed 15 June 2016.
- 26. Otosaka, S., et al, opcit. (2014)
- 27. Buesseler, K., et al opcit (2015) and "Impacts of the Fukushima Nuclear Accident on Fish and Fishing Grounds", Kaoru Nakata Hiroya Sugisaki editors, Fisheries Research Agency, 2015, see http://www.springer.com/us/ book/9784431555360, accessed 20 June 2016; and "Impacts of the Fukushima Nuclear Accident on Fish and Fishing Grounds", Kaoru Nakata Hiroya Sugisaki editors, Fisheries Research Agency, 2015, see http://www.springer.com/ us/book/9784431555360, accessed 20 June 2016; and "Impacts of the Fukushima Nuclear Accident on Fish and Fishing Grounds", Kaoru Nakata Hiroya Sugisaki editors, Fisheries Research Agency, 2015, see http://www.springer.com/ us/book/9784431555360, accessed 20 June 2016; and "Impacts of the Fukushima Nuclear Accident on Fish and Fishing Grounds", Kaoru Nakata Hiroya Sugisaki editors, Fisheries Research Agency, 2015, see http://www.springer.com/us/ book/9784431555360, accessed 20 June 2016;
- 28. "Distribution of local 137Cs anomalies on the seafloor near the Fukushima Dai-ichi Nuclear Power Plant", Blair Thornton, Seiki Ohnishi, Tamaki Ura, Naoteru Odano, Shun Sasaki, Tsuneo Fujita, Tomowo Watanabe Kaoru Nakata, Tsuneo Ono, Daisuke Ambe - Institute of Industrial Science, The University of Tokyo, National Maritime Research Institute, Fukushima Prefectural Fisheries Experimental Station, Japan National Research Institute of Fishery Science, Fisheries Research Agency, Marine Pollution Bulletin Journal 2013, see http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23849954, accessed 15 June 2016.

- 29. Ibid, The seafloor within the survey area consists of fine silty clays which have a high retension adsorption rate for cesium, compared with coarse and very fine sands.
- 30. Thornton, B,. et al. (2013)
- 31. "Fukushima-derived radionuclides in sediments of the Japanese Pacific Ocean coast and various Japanese water samples (seawater, tap water, and coolant water of Fukushima Daiichi reactor unit 5), "Katsumi Shozugawa, Beate Riebe, Clemens Walther, Alexander Brandl, and Georg Steinhauser, 23 August 2015, Journal of Radioanalyitical and Nuclear Chemistry, see http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4779456/#CR32, accessed 20 June 2016.
- 32. "Residents rejoice over court order to halt Takahama nuke plant reactors", Mainichi Shimbun, 10 March 2016, see http://mainichi.jp/english/articles/20160310/p2a/00m/0na/017000c, accessed 20 June 2016.
- 33. "Characteristics of Radionuclide Distribution in Surface Layer Sediments of Osaka Bay and Lake Biwa", K. Megumi , N. Ito, S. Kiyoda , T. Oka and S. Sakai Research Institute for Advanced Science & Technology, Osaka Pref. Univ, Environment Preservation Center, Japan, 1997, see http://www.irpa.net/irpa10/cdrom/00981.pdf, accessed 20 June 2016.
- 34. See for example, "Simulation of Sediment and Cesium Transport in the Ukedo River and the Ogi Dam Reservoir during a Rainfall Event using the TODAM Code", Y Onishi H Kurikami ST Yokuda, Pacific Northwest Laboratories, US Department of Energy, March 2014, see http://www.pnnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical\_reports/PNNL-23255.pdf, accessed 19 June 2016; and "Comparison of radioactive cesium contamination of lake water, bottom sediment, plankton, and freshwater fish among lakes of Fukushima Prefecture, Japan after the Fukushima fallout", Keishi Matsuda· Kaori Takagi · Atsushi Tomiya; · Masahiro Enomoto · Jun-ichi Tsuboi · Hideki Kaeriyama · Daisuke Ambe· Ken Fujimoto · Tsuneo Ono · Kazuo Uchida · Takami Morita · Shoichiro Yamamoto NFisheries Research Agency, Fukushima Prefectural Fisheries Experimental Station, Iwaki,National Research Institute of Fisheries Science, 23 April 2015, see http://link.springer.com/ chapter/10.1007%2F978-4-431-55537-7\_15, accessed 20 June 2016.
- 35. "Comparison of radioactive cesium contamination of lake water, bottom sediment, plankton, and freshwater fish among lakes of Fukushima Prefecture, Japan after the Fukushima fallout", Keishi Matsuda, Kaori Takagi, Atsushi Tomiya, Masahiro Enomoto, Jun-ichi Tsuboi, Hideki Kaeriyama, Daisuke Ambe, Ken Fujimoto, Tsuneo Ono, Kazuo Uchida, Takami Morita, Shoichiro Yamamoto, Fisheries Research Agency, Fukushima Prefectural Fisheries Experimental Station, Iwaki,National Research Institute of Fisheries Science, 23 April 2015, see http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1 007%2F978-4-431-55537-7\_15, accessed 20 June 2016.
- 36. Evrard, O., et al. Opcit (2014).
- 37. This figure does not include the total and larger quantity of cesium isotopes in the reactor spent fuel from units 1-4.) "Fukushima Accident Radioactivity Impact on the Environment", Pavel P. Povinec, Katsumi Hirose and Michio Aoyama, Chapter 4 - Radionuclide Releases Into The Environment, 213, see http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ book/9780124081321.
- 38. lbid.
- 39. Ibid.
- 40. "Situation of Storage and Treatment of Accumulated Water including Highly Concentrated Radioactive Materials at Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station (257th Release)", TEPCO, 17 June 2016, see http://www.tepco.co.jp/en/press/corp-com/release/betu16\_e/images/160617e0101.pdf, accessed 27 June 2016.

- 43. "Status of Contaminated Water Status of Contaminated Water Treatment and Tritium at Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station", Tokyo Electric Power Company, Inc. 2014, see http://www.meti.go.jp/earthquake/nuclear/ pdf/140424/140424\_02\_008.pdf, accessed 25 June 2016.
- 44. "Project of Decommissioning and Contaminated Water Management" in the FY2013 Supplementary Budget", Management Office for the Project of Decommissioning and Contaminated Water Management see http://en.dcccprogram.jp/h25/2016/05/26/?p=542, accessed 25 June 2016.
- 45. "Japan considers evaporation, storage of tritium-laced Fukushima water," Aaron Sheldrick, Reuters, see http://www. reuters.com/article/2015/04/08/us-japan-fukushima-water-idUSKBN0MZ0WC20150408, accessed August 26 2015. This option was used to treat the far smaller volume of contaminated water – 8700 tons at Three Miles Island following the nuclear accident in 1979 compared with the current 515,000 at Fukushima Daiichi, "TMI-2 Tritiated Water Experience, Presented to the Tritiated Water Task Force of the Committee on Contaminated Water Countermeasures," 26 March 2014, Chuck Negin, see http://www.meti.go.jp/earthquake/nuclear/pdf/140326/140326\_01e.pdf, accessed 25 June 2016.
- 46. "Dumping tritium from Fukushima into sea is best option: ministry", Asahi Shimbun, 20 April 2016, see http://www.asahi. com/ajw/articles/AJ201604200041.html, accessed 25 June 2016.
- 47. "Is Radioactive Hydrogen in Drinking Water a Cancer Threat? The EPA plans to reevaluate standards for tritium in water," David Biello, Scientific American, February 7, 2014, see, http://www.scientificamerican.com/article/is-radioactive-hydrogen-in-drinking-water-a-cancer-threat/, ccessed August 25 2015.
- 48. See, "Campaign to Stop Fukushima Radioactive Ocean Contamination", http://stoposensui15.blogspot.co.uk/p/english. html, accessed August 26 2015.

<sup>41.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>42.</sup> Ibid.

www.greenpeace.org/japan/ERJ Published on 21<sup>st</sup> Jul 2016

The analysis were performed by gamma spectrometry with high-purity germanium detector at Chikurin (RMCC, Radioactivity Monitoring Center for Citizen), Tokyo.

Total Cs (Bq/kg) : When the sample is below the detection limit, the total is counted as 0 Bq/kg as a matter of practical convenience

From coastline (km): Approximate distance from the nearest coastline.

Water Depth (m): Measured with Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV)'s position.

_
Ċ
0
_
<u> </u>
_
~
~
~
ŝ
<u> </u>
=.
~
-
0)
-
$\circ$
ഖ
<b>_</b> .
Ξ.
0
÷
_ <u></u>
$\sim$
~
$\sim$
<u> </u>
Ē
- C
⊳
~
σ
ž
U U
0
2
×
<b>–</b>
5
بد
<b>+</b>
ί Π
_
=.
ω
25
μ L
~
0
S
÷
5
ш
້
0
Ω.
-
<u></u>
0
- · ·
<u> </u>
~
~~
~
Ê
ŝŊ
lsn
ush
cushii
cushin
cushim
cushima
cushima
:ushima [
cushima D
cushima Da
cushima Dai
cushima Daii
cushima Daiic
ushima Daiich
ushima Daiich
tushima Daiichi
ushima Daiichi F
ushima Daiichi Pl
ushima Daiichi Pla
ushima Daiichi Pla
ushima Daiichi Plar
ushima Daiichi Plant
ushima Daiichi Plant.

17

20160306-NKN-1 2016-03-06

16

20160226-NTS-1 2016-02-26

Nakanosaku Ottshore

56.6

7.4

26.2

23

1+ ი

^ 5.5

23

36.9128920 141.00492379

54.5

з.6

28.7

37

' ± 7.5

7.2

+ 2.3

44.2

36.9341782 140.9702648

|--|

No.	Sample ID	collected date	Location	Fukushima Daiichi	Coastline	Depth	Cs-137 (Bq/kg)	Cs-134 (Bq/kg)	Total Cs (Bq/kg)	<u>c</u>
				(km)	(km)	(m)	(C: , h-)	(e		z
_	20160304-SOM-1	2016-03-04	Soma offshore	46	0.1	7.4	110 ± 19	24 ± 4.9	134	37.83090101.
Ν	20160302-NID-01	2016-03-02		24.5	0.6	9.6	16 ± 4.2	<2.3	16	37.6405321 1
ω	20160304-SOM-2	2016-03-04	Niida river Estuary	22.5	2.8	21.9	11 ± 3.2	<2.7	1	37.6229021 1
4	20160304-SOM-3	2016-03-04		23	2.9	22.2	10 ± 3.1	<3.4	10	37.6278683 1
ഗ	20160302-FDN-01	2016-03-02	Fukushima	ω	1.6	18.7	110 ± 18	18 ± 4	128	37.3997703
6	20160302-FDN-02	2016-03-02	Daiichi Nuclear plant	2	1.6	16.7	120 ± 19	24 ± 4.8	144	37.4093794 1
7	20160305-FPP-1	2016-03-05	offshore	4.3	ω	24	34 ± 7.3	5.3 ± 2.1	39.3	37.3954257 1
ω	20160225-0HA-1	2016-02-25		40.8	1.6	16	44 ± 8.6	9.3 ± 2.5	53.3	37.0562913 14
9	20160225-0HA-2	2016-02-25		40.8	1.6	14	36 ± 7.7	9.4 ± 2.7	45.4	37.0562913 14
10	20160225-0HA-3	2016-02-25		41.5	ω	29	17 ± 4.7	<6.4	17	37.0480251 14
_ _	20160226-NTS-2	2016-02-26	Natsui river	40.8	2.7	26.1	25 ± 6.1	5.2 ± 2.1	30.2	37.0551375 14
12	20160226-NTS-3	2016-02-26	Estuary	40.8	2.7	26.2	27 ± 6.2	<5.3	27	37.0551375 14
$\frac{1}{3}$	20160226-NTS-4	2016-02-26		40.9	3.6	30.8	27 ± 6.2	6.5 ± 2.2	33.5	37.0541541 14
1 4	20160226-NTS-5	2016-02-26		41.4	3.6	30.6	21 ± 5.2	<5.4	21	37.0494397 14
15	20160226-NTS-6	2016-02-26		40.9	3.6	30.6	22 ± 5.9	<5.6	22	37.0544156 14



GPS

Activity of dried marine sediment samples collected along Fukushima coast (1/2)

From

From

Water

No

Activity of dried marine sediment samples collected along Fukushima coast (2/2)

GREENPEACE

Z	Samula ID	collected date	L ocation	From Fukushima	From Coastline	Water Denth	Cs-137	Cs-134	Total Cs	GPS
j				Daiichi (km)	(km)	(m)	(Bq/kg)	(Bq/kg)	(Bq/kg)	ш Z
18	20160227-SMG-1	2016-02-27		61.4	1.9	22.4	82 ± 14	13 ± 3.3	95	36.8945650 140.82825808
19	20160227-SMG-2	2016-02-27		61.4	1.9	22.1	120 ± 20	24 ± 4.8	144	36.8946643 140.8283252
20 2	20160227-SMG-3	2016-02-27		62.9	4	29.6	6.5 ± 2.2	<2.7	6.5	36.8768778 140.84079777
21	20160227-SMG-4	2016-02-27	Samegawa	63	3.7	29.6	16 ± 4.2	~ ~	16	36.8770630 140.83773408
22 2	20160311-0NH-1	2016-03-11	River estuary	62.1	1.9	21.7	110 ± 19	21 ± 4.5	131	36.8892308 140.82371361
23 2	20160311-0NH-2	2016-03-11		64.2	3.8	28.7	52 ± 10	8.9 ± 2.7	60.9	36.8668867 140.8321 3466
24	20160311-0NH-3	2016-03-11		63.3	2.3	24.3	82 ± 15	13 ± 3.3	95	36.8781281 140.81990153
25 2	20160311-0NH-4	2016-03-11		64.2	1.6	21.5	120 ± 21	20 ± 4.5	140	36.8723860 140.80851525
From Fu	Jukushima Daiichi (km) Jastline (km): Approxi	: Approximate linear imate distance from t	distance from Fuki the nearest coastli	ushima Daiichi Pl ine.	ant.					

Water Depth (m): Measured with Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV)'s position.

Total Cs (Bq/kg) : When the sample is below the detection limit, the total is counted as 0 Bq/kg as a matter of practical convenience.

The analysis were performed by gamma spectrometry with high-purity germanium detector at Chikurin (RMCC, Radioactivity Monitoring Center for Citizen), Tokyo.

According to Nuclear Regulatory Agency "Environment Radiation Database", Activity of Sediment sample collected at the seabed of Fukushima offshore in 2010 was http://search.kankyo-hoshano.go.jp/servlet/search.top , accessed 2016-07-11 Cs-137 0.23~0.26Bq/kg Cs-134 Not Detectable

www. greenpeace.org/japan/ERJ Published on 21st Jul 2016

www. greenpeace.org/japan/ERJ Published on 21<sup>st</sup> Jul 2016

ŝ ç 5 1911

Dose rate is measured with Thermo Scientific RadEye PRD-ER. uncy go

ç Ś Ğ ац, I 1010

					River bank. Sedimerit.						
	14	20160304-NII-5	2016-02-04	Niida river	Fukushima pref. Minamisoma, Nakagawara	10	70	Ω 1 Ω	0 1 Q	n 16	1 40
	-		40-00-01	INIDATIVE	River bank. Sediment.	Ċ	ŗ	0.10	- -	0.10	, , ,
-	From	estuary (km): Approx	kimate distance fro	m the river estua	ıry.						
-	From	Fukushima Daiichi (kr	n): Approximate lir	ear distance fror	n Fukushima Daiichi Plant.						
	Thea	inalysis were perform	ed by gamma spec	trometry with hig	h-purity germanium detector at Chikurin (RMCC,Radioact	ivity Monito	oring Center	· for Citizen	), Tokyo.		

Act	ivity of dried sedim	nent∕soil samp	les collected alc	ng river banks (1/2)							GRE	ġ
	Cample ID	Collected	Name of river	Location	From	From Fukushima	dose r	ate (µS	∿∕h)	Cs-137	Cs-134	-1
0.	Sample ID	Date	Name of river	Sample type and note	estuary (km)	Daiichi (km)	1m	0.5m	10cm	(Bq/kg)	(Bq/kg)	
د	2016021E ABK 1	2016 02 1E		Miyagi pref. Watari	ח ח	ΥĒ	0 0	2	2 2	026 + 003 6	п эр	
-		2010-202	ADUKUMATIVE	River bank. Soil. Reed. Grass.	0.0	70	0.00	0.44	0.40	2,000 I 370	1 I 070	
ა	20160216 ABK 1/2	31 60 3100 0		Miyagi pref. Watari	л С	Ч	200	0 0 0 0	0 2 0		1 000 1	
r		2 2010-02-10	ADUKUITIa LIVei	River bank. Muddy Soil.	10.5	67	0.20	0.32	0.40	Ua, I UUC,C	1,000 ± 1.	C
ι		2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		Miyagi pref. Watari	r C	J T	2	5				5
U	20160216-868-2	91-20-9102	Abukuma river	River bank. Soil. Reed. Grass.	10.5	67	0.41	0.43	0.44	3,700 ± 310		5
<b>、</b>				Miyagi pref. Watari	r C	J T					-	2
4		2010-02-10	Abukumanyei	Far side of river bank from river. Soil.	10.0		0.00	0.00	0.00	04 T 007	+7 ± 0.0	U
л	1-111V-20209106	2018-03-03	Niida river	Fukushima pref. Minamisoma, Haramachiku Ogai	10 д	30	20	-	000	15 000 + 2 200	3 000 + 42	ŏ 1
ر			ועוועם דועכו	River bank. Near Nakagawara bridge. Sediment.	۲.۵	U C	c. c	Ξ	0.33	13,000 - 6,600	J,UUU - TE	-
ת	2-111N-20209102	2018-03-03	Niida river	Fukushima pref. Minamisoma, Haramachiku Ogai	10 л	30	1 34	1 22	80 0	3 500 + 490	80 + 089	•
c				River bank. Near Nakagawara bridge. Sediment.	- r c	U C	-	- r c				
7	2-111V-20209100	2018-03-03	Niido rivor	Fukushima pref. Minamisoma, Haramachiku Ogai	10 д	20	4 1 2	222	1 72		1 500 + 210	<u> </u>
-	20100303-IVII-3		ועוועם דועכו	River bank. Below rain drainage pipe. Sediment.	۲.۵	U C	+ - -	۲. ن	 -	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-,200 - 610	
x	20160303-NII-4	2018-03-03	Niida river	Fukushima pref. Minamisoma, Haramachiku Ogai	л с Г	30	044	85.0	О ол	1 500 + 220	280 + 41	,
c				Sandbar by bridge. Sediment.	- r c	L C	- - -			-,300 - 660	Гос 	
٥	20160303-NII-5	2018-03-03	Niida river	Fukushima pref. Minamisoma, Haramachiku Ogai	10 д	20	О 27 7	4 C O	96 U	1 600 + 220	310 + 44	
U.		2010-03	INIUATIVET	Sand. Close to water.	16.0		0.00	0.00	0.00	1,000 - 220	0 I C I - 1 + 1 +	
10		2012-02-04		Fukushima pref. Minamisoma, Sukauchi	S	0 Л	α7 O	8	О л Л	026 + 007 1	3V + UCE	
5		+0-00-01	INIUATIVET	Between water and bank. Sediment.	г	۲ ر د	0.70	0.00	0.07		04 7 070	
- -	2-111V-70209102	2016-03-04	Niida river	Fukushima pref. Minamisoma, Sukauchi	0	207	5 U U	220	55 0	020 + 120	36 + 081	_
=		+0-00-01	INIUGIIVEI	Sediment	г	۲ د	0.00	0.00	0.00	001 - 076		
c r	2-111-7020310C	2016-02-04		Fukushima pref. Minamisoma, Sukauchi	<b>o</b>	0 Л	О Л 1	С Л 1	О Л 1	3 000 + 720	۲ <u>8</u> 0 + ۵3	
- N		-01-01-04	INIUA LIVEI	Sediment	Г	0	0.0	0.0	0.01	3,000 ± 420	70 I 00C	,
L C	30160304 NIII 4	2016 02 04		Fukushima pref. Minamisoma, Nakagawara	0	ч с	77	2	0	0.210 + 0.00 5	630 + 00	
Ū		-0-0-0-0-0-	ואוועם דועכו	River bank. Sediment.	Ċ	ŗ	() 	0.04	0.04	U,UUU - 470	020 1 30	
1 4	20160304-NII-5	2016-02-04	Niido rivor	Fukushima pref. Minamisoma, Nakagawara	10	70	0 1 8	0 1 Q	9 L O	1 400 + 210	270 + 40	
-			INIUGITE	River bank. Sediment.	ā	ŗ				1,700 ÷ 610		

Activity of dried sediment/soil samples collected along river banks (2/2)

# GREENPEACE

					From	From	dose ra	ite (µSv/h)	Cc 107			GPS
N	Sample ID	Date	Name of river	Location Sample type and note	Estuary (km)	Daiichi (km)	1 1	).5m 10cm	(Bq/kg)	(Bq/kg)	I ULAI US (Bq/kg)	ZШ
				Fukushima pref. Minamisoma, Haramachiku Takanokura	L F	r C	0					37.62719
<u>0</u>	0-11NI-40000107	40-00-0107		Takanokura Dam. 2 m above water surface. Soil.	<u>0</u>	77	<u>.</u>	1	23,000 ± 3,300	4,000 ± 030	53,000	140.87721
, , ,				Fukushima pref. Minamisoma, Haramachiku Takanokura	L F	C C	с с			2 EOO + 210	1 E E O O	37.62719
0	7-11NI-40000107	40-00-0107		Takanokura Dam. 1 m above water surface. Soil.	<u>c</u>	77	2.2	1	13,000 ± 1,000	2,300 ± 340	0000,61	140.87721
				Fukushima pref. Minamisoma, Haramachiku Baba								37.59625
17 2	.0160304-0TA-1	2016-03-04	Otariver	Near Yokokawa dam. 1 m from water. next to the small river feeding the dam. next to Akanesawa bridge. Soil.	4	23	1.15	0.88 0.72	20,000 ± 2,900	3,800 ± 540	23,800 —	140.89121
				Fukushima pref. Minamisoma, Haramachiku Baba								37.59625
18 2	0160304-0TA-2	2016-03-04	Otariver	Near Yokokawa dam. 1 m from water. next to the small river feeding the dam. next to Akanesawa bridge. Soil.	14	23	0.62 (	0.54 0.63	2,800 ± 380	540 ± 76	3,340	140.89121
0	0160204 OTA 4	2016 02 04		Fukushima pref. Minamisoma, Haramachiku Baba	7	сс С	- C - L	1 1 1 1 1 1		2 100 + 100		37.59625
- -			OLATIVE	Near Yokokawa Dam. 4 m from water. Next to Akanesawa bridge. Soil. Small organic.	† -	0		2 	10,000 - 2,000	0,+00 - +90	- , <del>1</del> 00	140.89121
From e From Fi	stuary (km): Approx ukushima Daiichi (km	imate distance fr ı): Approximate lı	om the river estua inear distance fron	ry. n Fukushima Daiichi Plant.								

The analysis were performed by gamma spectrometry with high-purity germanium detector at Chikurin (RMCC, Radioactivity Monitoring Center for Citizen), Tokyo.

Dose rate is measured with Thermo Scientific RadEye PRD-ER.

www. greenpeace.org/japan/ERJ Published on 21ª Jul 2016

# Activity of dried sediment samples collected in Lake Biwa



Z S		Collected		From	Water	Cs-137	Cs-134	Total Cs	GPS	
NC.		Date		(m)	(m) Debri	(Bq/kg)	(Bq/kg)	(Bq/kg)	z	m
	20160322-BIW-1	2016-03-22	Takashima city	50	3.8	<6.4	<4.5	ND	35.302300	136.028460
2	20160322-BIW-2	2016-03-22	Nagahama city	30	7.7	13 ± 4.6	<6.0	13	35.371642	136.265970
ω	20160323-BIW-1	2016-03-23	Nagahama city	30	4.2	7.1 ± 3.7	<7.2	7.1	35.503760	136.169187
4	20160324-BIW-1	2016-03-24	Kusatsu city	30	3.1	<6.8	<5.1	ND	35.032328	135.911876
From shore	(m): Approximate distan	ce from the nearest s	shore.							

Water Depth (m): Measured with Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV)'s position.

Total Cs (Bq/kg): When the sample is below the detection limit, the total is counted as 0 Bq/kg as a matter of practical convenience.

www. greenpeace.org/japan/ERJ Published on 21<sup>st</sup> Jul 2016

The analysis were performed by gamma spectrometry with high-purity germanium detector at Chikurin (RMCC, Radioactivity Monitoring Center for Citizen), Tokyo.





Greenpeace divers holding banners with the messages "Never Again Fukushima" at Fukushima Daichi nuclear plant, March 2016. © Greenpeace / Gavin Newman Greenpeace is an independent campaigning organisation. Founded in 1971, it acts to change attitudes and behavior, to protect and conserve the environment, and promote peace and sustainability.

#### Greenpeace Japan

Nishi-shinjuku 8-13-11 NF Bldg. 2F, Shinjuku-ku Tokyo, 160-0023

#### For further information:

shaun.burnie@greenpeace.org kendra.ulrich@greenpeace.org jan.vande.putte@greenpeace.org ai.kashiwagi@greenpeace.org

www.greenpeace.org/japan/ERJ

